

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,700	02/13/2002	Stephen J. Mattingly	97012/07CIP	8082
75	90 04/23/2003			
ROBERT W. STROZIER			EXAMINER	
SUITE 930 2925 BRIARPARK DRIVE			TATE, CHRISTOPHER ROBIN	
HOUSTON, TX 77042		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/074,700

Applicant(s)

Mattingly et al.

Examiner

Christopher Tate

Art Unit 1654



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
	or Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
mailing	date of this communication.			
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the reriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will epply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Be application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) 🗆	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.		
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under $\textit{Ex pa}$.	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
	ion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-34</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) [] All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
	application from the International Burea			
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	·		
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.				
15)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. 33 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Application/Control Number: 10/074,700 Page 2

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-22, drawn to a method for controlling an insect population using viable or dead gram-negative bacteria and/or extracts thereof, classified in class 424, subclass 780, for example.
- II. Claims 23-34, drawn to a composition comprising *Rhodobacter*, classified in class424, subclass 93.4, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, there are numerous commercial products which are used for controlling insect populations which do not require the use of the gram-negative bacterial genus *Rhodobacteria*.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,700 Page 3

Art Unit: 1654

In addition, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the various different and distinct gram-negative bacteria recited in claims 2, 4, and 12.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species (i.e., elect a particular gram-negative bacteria from among those listed in either claim 2 or claims 4 and 12) from for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 10 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/074,700 Page 4

Art Unit: 1654

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R. Tate whose telephone number is (703) 305-7114. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can be reached at (703) 306-3220. The Group receptionist may be reached at (703) 308-0196. The fax number for art unit 1654 is (703) 872-9306.

Christopher R. Tate

Primary Examiner, Group 1654